

# **LASER DIODE DRIVER WITH EXTINCTION RATIO CONTROL**

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to APC (automatic power control) laser diode driver  
5 capable of extinction-ratio control.

Laser modules which include a light-emitting circuit having a laser diode (LD) and  
a light-receiving circuit having a photodiode (PD) are known in the field of optical  
communication. The LD of the light-emitting circuit produces a predetermined optical  
output power when a bias current is added to a pulse current which is responsive to input  
10 data, and also outputs monitoring light for APC. The PD of the light-receiving circuit  
receives the monitoring light outputted from the LD and performs light-to-current  
conversion. Based on the current resulting from the conversion, the magnitude of the bias  
current and that of the pulse current of the PD are controlled so that constant optical output  
power and a constant extinction ratio are obtained.

15 As is well known in the art, temperature variation, process variation, and  
deterioration caused by an extended period of use, for example, produce variation in the  
threshold current and conversion efficiency of LDs. In addition, the characteristics of LDs,  
such as the threshold current, conversion efficiency and variation thereof with temperature,  
differ completely depending on the manufacture and type of the LD. The LD-to-PD  
20 coupling efficiency also varies. In order to obtain optical output power and an extinction  
ratio which are always constant, the magnitude of the bias current and that of the pulse  
current have to be initialized appropriately and also has to be optimized at all times  
according to the conditions of use.

Hereinafter, temperature-variation-induced fluctuation in the threshold current and  
25 conversion efficiency of an LD will be described referring to FIGS. 1 through 3.

In FIG. 1, an example of case in which a conventional LD is driven at room temperature ( $T_2$ ), among low temperature ( $T_1$ ), room temperature ( $T_2$ ) and high temperature ( $T_3$ ), is indicated by a solid line representing its current-to-light conversion characteristic (I-P characteristic) 12. In FIG. 1, the character **I** represents an input current (drive current) into the LD and the character **P** indicates the optical output power of the LD, while the inclination of the I-P characteristic represents its conversion efficiency. At the room temperature, the threshold current of the LD is  $I_{th2}$ , while a bias current **I<sub>b</sub>** is set equal to the threshold current **I<sub>th2</sub>** ( $I_b = I_{th2}$ ). And a pulse current **I<sub>p</sub>** responsive to input data is superimposed on the bias current **I<sub>b</sub>**. In this case, when the pulse current **I<sub>p</sub>** with a duty ratio of 1 to 1 (high period : low period) is applied to the LD, the LD exhibits a desired high extinction ratio ( $P_{max}/P_{min}$ ) such as shown in FIG. 1, and at the same time a maximum optical output power **P<sub>max</sub>** and a minimum optical output power **P<sub>min</sub>** show a duty ratio of 1 to 1.

In FIG. 2, an example of case in which the conventional LD is driven at the high temperature ( $T_3$ ) is indicated by a solid line representing its I-P characteristic 13. At the high temperature, the threshold current of the LD changes to  $I_{th3}$  ( $> I_{th2}$ ), while the conversion efficiency thereof becomes lower than at the room temperature. However, if the same bias current **I<sub>b</sub>** ( $= I_{th2}$ ) and pulse current **I<sub>p</sub>** as those at the room temperature ( $T_2$ ) are still being applied to the LD, the maximum value of the optical output power **P** decreases to cause the extinction ratio to deteriorate, as can be seen from the illustrated maximum optical output power **P<sub>max3</sub>** and minimum optical output power **P<sub>min3</sub>**. Further, the duty ratio of the optical output power **P** deteriorates considerably.

In FIG. 3, an example of case in which the conventional LD is driven at the low temperature ( $T_1$ ) is indicated by a solid line representing its I-P characteristic 11. At the low temperature, the threshold current of the LD changes to  $I_{th1}$  ( $> I_{th2}$ ), while the

conversion efficiency thereof becomes higher than at the room temperature. However, if the same bias current  $I_b$  ( $= I_{th2}$ ) and pulse current  $I_p$  as those at the room temperature ( $T_2$ ) are still being applied to the LD, the maximum and minimum values of the optical output power  $P$  both rise, as can be seen from the illustrated maximum and minimum optical output powers  $P_{max1}$  and  $P_{min1}$ , thereby also causing deterioration in the extinction ratio.

As described above, the bias current  $I_b$  smaller than the threshold current  $I_{th3}$  as shown in FIG. 2 results in the heavy deterioration in the maximum optical output power, extinction ratio and duty ratio. On the other hand, when the bias current  $I_b$  exceeds the threshold current  $I_{th1}$  as shown in FIG. 3, the extinction ratio deteriorates considerably. In any of these cases, a problem arises in that the communication cannot be performed smoothly, for example.

FIG. 4 illustrates an example of case in which an LD is driven in an ideal manner such that the maximum optical output power, extinction ratio and duty ratio are all kept constant regardless of the ambient temperature. Specifically, at the high temperature ( $T_3$ ), the bias current is raised to  $I_{b3}$  ( $= I_{th3}$ ) in response to the increase in the threshold current from  $I_{th2}$  to  $I_{th3}$ , while the pulse current is increased to  $I_{p3}$  in accordance with the decrease in the conversion efficiency. At the low temperature ( $T_1$ ), the bias current is reduced to  $I_{b1}$  ( $= I_{th1}$ ) in response to the decrease in the threshold current from  $I_{th2}$  to  $I_{th1}$ , while the pulse current is decreased to  $I_{p1}$  in accordance with the increase in the conversion efficiency. These adjustments in the currents allow the maximum and minimum optical output powers  $P_{max}$  and  $P_{min}$  as those achieved at the room temperature ( $T_2$ ) to be always obtained irrespective of the ambient temperature.

In order to drive LDs in such an ideal manner, various attempts have been made.

Those attempts include a conventional technique in which a beam of monitoring light

outputted from an LD is subjected to light-to-electricity conversion performed by a PD, and the resultant electric signal outputted from the PD is inputted into an average-value detection circuit and a peak-value detection circuit. The average-value output voltage and peak-value output voltage outputted from the detection circuits are applied to an operation  
5 circuit where a voltage which is proportional to the difference between a voltage which is twice the average-value output voltage and the peak-value output voltage is generated and then fed back to a reference-voltage setting terminal or a bias-current control terminal of a laser drive circuit. At the same time, the average-value output voltage is fed back to a pulse-current control terminal of the laser drive circuit. In this manner, the conventional  
10 technique achieves the definite amplitude, upper and lower symmetry and extinction ratio of the optical output power waveform (see Japanese Laid-Open Publication No. 6-164049).

The conventional technique, which permits the average optical output power to be constant, however, has a problem because the difference between the voltage which is twice the average-value output voltage and the peak-value output voltage is controlled to  
15 be zero based on the assumption that the minimum optical output power is equal to zero, but the minimum optical output power ( $\neq 0$ ) actually exists and produces a corresponding offset which has adverse effect.

In the known technique, in the case of a bias current greater than the threshold current, although the average optical output power is equal to a reference value, there is a  
20 possibility that the duty ratio of the optical output power would not be 1 to 1 and that a state of equilibrium would be achieved when the difference between the voltage which is twice the average-value output voltage and the peak-value output voltage is zero. In such a case, the desired maximum optical output power, extinction ratio and duty ratio might not be obtained

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a laser diode driver which is capable of controlling the maximum optical output power, extinction ratio and duty ratio of an LD in such a manner as to keep them always constant by optimizing a bias current and a pulse current applied to the LD even when variation is produced in the threshold current and conversion efficiency of the LD due to temperature variation, process variation or deterioration caused by an extended period of use, for example.

To achieve the object, a first inventive laser diode driver with extinction ratio control includes: a light-emitting circuit; a drive circuit for driving the light-emitting circuit; a bias circuit for adding a bias current to a pulse current outputted from the drive circuit; a light-receiving circuit for receiving monitoring light outputted from the light-emitting circuit; an I/V conversion circuit for subjecting an output from the light-receiving circuit to current-to-voltage conversion; a maximum-value detection circuit for detecting the maximum value of an output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit; an average-value detection circuit for detecting the average value of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit; a first comparator for comparing the maximum value with a first reference value to feed back the comparison result to the drive circuit; and a second comparator for comparing the average value with a second reference value to feed back the comparison result to the bias circuit.

A second inventive laser diode driver with extinction ratio control includes: a light-emitting circuit; a drive circuit for driving the light-emitting circuit; a bias circuit for adding a bias current to a pulse current outputted from the drive circuit; a light-receiving circuit for receiving monitoring light outputted from the light-emitting circuit; an I/V conversion circuit for subjecting an output from the light-receiving circuit to current-to-voltage conversion; a maximum-value detection circuit for detecting the maximum value

of an output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit; a duty detection circuit for detecting the duty ratio of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit to feed back the detected duty ratio to the bias circuit; and a comparator for comparing the maximum value with a first reference value to feed back the comparison result to the drive circuit.

5

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a characteristic diagram indicating an example of case in which a conventional LD is driven at room temperature.

FIG. 2 is a characteristic diagram indicating an example of case in which the  
10 conventional LD is driven at high temperature.

FIG. 3 is a characteristic diagram indicating an example of case in which the conventional LD is driven at low temperature.

FIG. 4 is a characteristic diagram representing an example of case in which an LD is driven in an ideal manner such as allowing the maximum optical output power,  
15 extinction ratio and duty ratio which are all constant to be obtained regardless of the ambient temperature.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of a laser diode driver in accordance with a first embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a circuit diagram illustrating an exemplary configuration of a reference  
20 value preparation circuit shown in FIG. 5.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating a first modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram illustrating a second modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5.

25 FIG. 9 is a block diagram illustrating a third modified example of the configuration

shown in FIG. 5.

FIG. 10 is a block diagram illustrating a fourth modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5.

FIG. 11 is a block diagram illustrating a laser diode driver in accordance with a second embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 12 is a block diagram illustrating a modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 11.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of a laser diode driver with extinction ratio control in accordance with a first embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 5, the reference numeral 21 denotes a laser module which includes a light-emitting circuit 21a and a light-receiving circuit 21b. The light-emitting circuit 21a is composed of a laser diode (LD) which produces a predetermined optical output power and also performs current-to-light conversion in order to output monitoring light for APC. The light-receiving circuit 21b is composed of a photodiode (PD) for receiving the monitoring light outputted from the light-emitting circuit 21a. The reference numeral 22 denotes an LD drive circuit, 23 denotes a bias circuit, 24 denotes an I/V conversion circuit, 25 denotes a maximum value detection circuit, 26 denotes an average value detection circuit, 27 denotes a first comparator, 28 denotes a reference value preparation circuit, and 29 denotes a second comparator.

The LD drive circuit 22 supplies a pulse current  $I_p$  to drive the light-emitting circuit 21a in accordance with input data (DATA). The bias circuit 23 adds a bias current  $I_b$  to the pulse current  $I_p$  outputted from the LD drive circuit 22. In other words, the input current (drive current)  $I$  into the light-emitting circuit 21a is equal to  $I_b + I_p$ . The I/V conversion circuit 24 subjects an output from the light-receiving circuit 21b to current-to-

voltage conversion. The maximum value detection circuit 25 detects the maximum value  $V_{\max}$  of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The average value detection circuit 26 detects the average value  $V_{\text{ave}}$  of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The first comparator 27 compares the maximum value  $V_{\max}$  outputted from the maximum value detection circuit 25 with a predetermined first reference value (first reference voltage)  $V_{\text{ref1}}$  and feeds back the comparison result to the LD drive circuit 22 so as to make the difference therebetween zero, thereby charging or discharging the pulse current  $I_p$ . The reference value preparation circuit 28 generates a second reference value (second reference voltage)  $V_{\text{ref2}}$  from the first reference value  $V_{\text{ref1}}$ . The second comparator 29 makes a comparison between the average value  $V_{\text{ave}}$  outputted from the average value detection circuit 26 and the second reference value  $V_{\text{ref2}}$  to feed back the comparison result to the bias circuit 23 so as to make the difference therebetween zero, thereby charging or discharging the bias current  $I_b$ .

In the structure shown in FIG. 5, by equalizing the maximum value  $V_{\max}$  with the reference value  $V_{\text{ref1}}$ , the maximum optical output power  $P_{\max}$  is kept constant as shown in FIG. 4 no matter whether the threshold current and conversion efficiency of the LD are large or small, while the extinction ratio and duty ratio are also allowed to be kept constant at all times as shown in FIG. 4 because the two feedback loops make the maximum value  $V_{\max}$  and the average value  $V_{\text{ave}}$  agree with the reference values  $V_{\text{ref1}}$  and  $V_{\text{ref2}}$ , respectively. Furthermore, since the duty ratio of the input data (DATA) is not changed in the configuration shown in FIG. 5, the duty ratio of the optical output power does not deteriorate easily even in the case where the conversion efficiency of the LD has varied considerably.

FIG. 6 illustrates an exemplary configuration of the reference value preparation circuit 28 shown in FIG. 5, and specifically indicates how the second reference value



**Vref2** is produced. Given that the maximum and minimum values of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24 are **Vmax** and **Vmin**, respectively, a constant extinction ratio is achieved by keeping the **Vmax-Vmin** ratio constant. When **Vmax** is equalized with **Vref1** for this purpose as described above, **Vmin** is determined based on **Vmax**, while **Vave** is determined to be an intermediate value between **Vmax** and **Vmin**. Therefore, the following definition holds:  $V_{max} : V_{min} = R + r : r = \text{constant}$ , and the reference value, i.e., the second reference value **Vref2** for the average value **Vave** can be established by the equation:  $V_{ref2} = V_{max} \times \{(R/2) + r\} / (R + r)$ , that is, by the resistance-division method. It should be however noted that any methods other than the resistance-division method may be employed so long as such methods can produce the desired reference value.

The second reference value **Vref2** may be generated according to the first reference value **Vref1** as is indicated in FIG. 5 by the solid line representing the first reference value **Vref1** that enters the reference value preparation circuit 28, or may be produced based on the detected maximum value **Vmax** as is shown in FIG. 5 by the broken line going from the maximum value detection circuit 25 to the reference value preparation circuit 28. It should be however understood that if the maximum value **Vmax** and the average value **Vave** are fed back at the same time, a long convergence time is necessary to reach equilibrium. The second reference value **Vref2** therefore should be generated based on the predetermined first reference value **Vref1**. Then, the maximum value **Vmax** and the average value **Vave** are both optimized with respect to the fixed first reference value **Vref1**, as a result of which a shorter convergence time is expected.

FIG. 7 illustrates a first modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5. A laser diode driver shown in FIG. 7 includes an initial bias determination circuit 31 in addition to the configuration shown in FIG. 5. The initial bias determination circuit 31 automatically sets an optimum initial bias value for the bias circuit 23 in accordance with

the initial state. Specifically, respective currents  $I_b - \alpha$ ,  $I_b$ , and  $I_b + \alpha$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) are inputted into the light-emitting circuit **21a**, and the initial bias determination circuit **31** monitors the respective resultant output currents of the light-receiving circuit **21b**. Since I-P characteristics (for example, the characteristic **12** shown in FIG. 1) have a point at which they bend, the bias current value is continuously changed to detect the extent of variation (first variation) between the output currents resulting from  $I_b - \alpha$  and  $I_b$  and the extent of variation (second variation) between the output currents resulting from  $I_b$  and  $I_b + \alpha$ . If the first and second variations differ from each other, the current which has created such a situation is considered to be substantially equal to the threshold current **I<sub>th</sub>** of the LD and is therefore set as the initial bias current **I<sub>b</sub>**. This gives the initial bias current **I<sub>b</sub>** that is almost equal to the threshold current **I<sub>th</sub>**. It is thus possible to automatically establish an initial bias current suitable for each LD, which consequently achieves a simplified checking process as well as reduction in the product cost.

It should be noted that as the value of  $\alpha$  decreases, the initial bias current **I<sub>b</sub>** can be established more accurately. The number of input points may be three or more, or the threshold current **I<sub>th</sub>** may be computed based on two or more input points. Any methods may be used as long as the threshold current **I<sub>th</sub>** can be obtained by such methods. In the process of monitoring the outputs from the light-receiving circuit **21b** when the currents  $I_b - \alpha$ ,  $I_b$ , and  $I_b + \alpha$  are inputted into the light-emitting circuit **21a**, the signals of either the output currents of the light-receiving circuit **21b** or the output voltages of the I/V conversion circuit **24** may be monitored.

FIG. 8 illustrates a second modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5. A laser diode driver shown in FIG. 8 is obtained by adding an adaptive drive circuit **32** and an adaptive bias circuit **33** to the structure shown in FIG. 5. At the time of the initial settings, or when the ambient temperature has sharply changed, or when deterioration in

the LD and the other members has proceeded, the threshold current and conversion efficiency of the LD may vary rapidly. In such a case, even the configuration shown in FIG. 5 allows optimization to be implemented, however, convergence to an optimum value takes time. Therefore, in the case of a variation more than a certain extent, the first  
5 comparator 27 outputs a signal indicating the rapid variation, and the adaptive drive circuit 32, upon receiving the signal, induces the LD drive circuit 22 to sharply charge/discharge the pulse current  $I_p$ . The second comparator 29 outputs a signal indicating the sudden variation, and the adaptive bias circuit 33, which has received the signal, causes the bias circuit 23 to rapidly charge/discharge the bias current  $I_b$ . As mentioned above, the  
10 adoption of the structure shown in FIG. 8 allows for the highly-precise high-speed optimization even when rapid variation of the LD characteristics occurs. It should be understood that the adaptive circuits 32 and 33 may be both used at the same time, or only one of them may be used.

FIG. 9 illustrates a third modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5. A  
15 laser diode driver shown in FIG. 9 includes, in addition to the structure of FIG. 5, a threshold current detection circuit 43 and an amplifier circuit 44 as well as a maximum value detection circuit 41 for detecting the maximum value  $I_{max}$  of the LD drive current and an average value detection circuit 42 for detecting the average value  $I_{ave}$  of the LD drive current. The threshold current detection circuit 43, if the maximum value  $V_{max}$  of  
20 the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24 is larger than the first reference value  $V_{ref1}$ , receives a signal from the first comparator 27, performs a computation for obtaining a threshold current  $I_{th}$  based on the two maximum values  $V_{max}$  and  $I_{max}$  and the two average values  $V_{ave}$  and  $I_{ave}$ , and then feeds back the result to the bias circuit 23. In order to increase the detection accuracy of the threshold current detection circuit 43, if the  
25 output current of the light-receiving circuit 21b is small, the amplifier circuit 44 amplifies

the output current.

In the case where a low ambient temperature, for example, has caused the threshold current  $I_{th}$  to decrease and the conversion efficiency to increase, the maximum and minimum optical output powers  $P_{max}$  and  $P_{min}$  both increase while the duty ratio of the output current of the light-receiving circuit 21b remains at 1 to 1, which results in deterioration in the extinction ratio (see FIG. 3). In such a case, convergence to an optimum value is achieved more rapidly by the following processes: the maximum value detector 25 equalizes  $V_{max}$  with the first reference value  $V_{ref1}$ , while at the same time the threshold current detection circuit 43 computes the threshold current  $I_{th}$  based on the two relationships between  $V_{max}$  and  $I_{max}$  and between  $V_{ave}$  and  $I_{ave}$ , and feeds back the computed threshold current  $I_{th}$  to the bias current  $I_b$  of the bias circuit 23. If the input current (drive current) into the LD is equal to or larger than the threshold current  $I_{th}$ , the I-P characteristic may be approximately expressed by a linear equation, which allows the threshold current  $I_{th}$  to be obtained from any two points in the I-P characteristic.

Adopting the structure in FIG. 9 enables the optimization of the bias current  $I_b$  at higher speed as compared with the configuration shown in FIG. 5. In computing the threshold current  $I_{th}$ , the I-P characteristic may be approximated with a linear equation as is mentioned above or may be approximated with a quadratic or higher-degree equation, or any other computation method may be employed.

FIG. 10 illustrates a fourth modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 5. A laser diode driver shown in FIG. 10 includes, in addition to the configuration shown in FIG. 5, a rising edge detection circuit 51, a falling edge detection circuit 52, a first arithmetic circuit 53, a rising edge detection circuit 54, a falling edge detection circuit 55, a second arithmetic circuit 56, and a third comparator 57. The rising edge detection circuit 51 detects the rising edge of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The

falling edge detection circuit 52 detects the falling edge of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The first arithmetic circuit 53 computes the difference of time between the rising and falling edges of the output voltage. The rising edge detection circuit 54 detects the rising edge of the LD drive current I. The falling edge detection circuit 55 detects the falling edge of the LD drive current I. The second arithmetic circuit 56 computes the difference of time between the rising and falling edges of the LD drive current I. The third comparator 57 compares the output of the first arithmetic circuit 53 and the output of the second arithmetic circuit 56 and feeds back the comparison result to the bias circuit 23 to control the bias circuit 23 so as to obtain optical output with a constant duty ratio.

In the configuration in FIG. 10, the respective rising and falling edges of the input current into the light-emitting circuit 21a and of the output current of the light-receiving circuit 21b are detected for computing the respective amounts of time between the rising and falling edges. The computed time is fed back to the bias circuit 23, which makes it possible to obtain optical output with a constant duty ratio. Furthermore, the configuration shown in FIG. 10 permits the double feedback in the case of deterioration in the duty ratio such that convergence to an optimum value is achieved more rapidly as compared with the structure in FIG. 5. It should be noted that the detection of the rising and falling edges may be performed using a highly-accurate latch circuit, or may be processed by a software, for example, or any other structures capable of time detection may be used.

FIG. 11 is a block diagram illustrating a laser diode driver with extinction ratio control in accordance with a second embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 11, the reference numeral 21 denotes a laser module which includes a light-emitting circuit 21a and a light-receiving circuit 21b. The light-emitting circuit 21a is composed of a laser diode (LD) which produces a predetermined optical output power and also performs

current-to-light conversion to output monitoring light for APC. The light-receiving circuit 21b is composed of a photo diode (PD) for receiving the monitoring light outputted from the light-emitting circuit 21a. The reference numeral 22 denotes an LD drive circuit, 23 denotes a bias circuit, 24 denotes an I/V conversion circuit, 25 denotes a maximum value detection circuit, 27 denotes a comparator, and 61 denotes a duty detection circuit. The duty detection circuit 61 includes a reference value preparation circuit 62 and a charge pump circuit 63.

The LD drive circuit 22 supplies a pulse current  $I_p$  to drive the light-emitting circuit 21a in accordance with input data (DATA). The bias circuit 23 adds a bias current  $I_b$  to the pulse current  $I_p$  outputted from the LD drive circuit 22. Specifically, the input current (drive current)  $I$  into the light-emitting circuit 21a is equal to  $I_b + I_p$ . The I/V conversion circuit 24 subjects an output from the light-receiving circuit 21 to current-to-voltage conversion. The maximum value detection circuit 25 detects the maximum value  $V_{max}$  of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The comparator 27 compares the maximum value  $V_{max}$  outputted from the maximum value detection circuit 25 with a predetermined first reference value (first reference voltage)  $V_{ref1}$  and feeds back the comparison result to the LD drive circuit 22 so as to make the difference zero, thereby charging/discharging the pulse current  $I_p$ .

The duty detection circuit 61 detects the duty ratio of the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24 to feed back the detected ratio to the bias circuit 23. The configuration shown in FIG. 11 utilizes characteristics in which the input voltage value of the charge pump circuit 63 increases or decreases depending on whether the output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24 is at a "high" period or a "low" period, and such voltage variation is fed back to the bias circuit 23 to finally allow the duty ratio of the optical output power to converge on a given value. The reference value preparation circuit 62

prepares from  $V_{ref1}$  a reference value (reference voltage)  $V_{ref3}$  for use as the threshold value for the “high” and “low” periods.

In this embodiment, if the duty ratio detected by the duty detection circuit 61 is not 1 to 1, the duty detection circuit 61 performs a feedback to the bias circuit 23 in accordance with that ratio. Specifically, at a high temperature, the ratio of the “low” period increases as shown in FIG. 2. In such a case, the bias current  $I_b$  is increased so that the duty ratio of the optical output power is controlled to be 1 to 1.

As described above, with the configuration shown in FIG. 11, the maximum optical output power, the extinction ratio and the duty ratio are always kept constant in a simpler manner as compared with the configuration shown in FIG. 5. The duty-ratio detection may be performed by an A/D converter or a low-pass filter (LPF) circuit, or any other circuit structures capable of duty-ratio detection may be used.

FIG. 12 illustrates a modified example of the configuration shown in FIG. 11. In a laser diode driver shown in FIG. 12, a duty detection circuit 61 includes two average-value detection circuits 64 and 66, an inverter 65, and a comparator 67. The average value detection circuit 64 detects the average value of the non-inverted output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24, while the other average-value detection circuit 66 detects the average value of the inverted output voltage of the I/V conversion circuit 24. The comparator 67 compares outputs from the average-value detectors 64 and 66 to feed back the comparison result to the bias circuit 23. This configuration utilizes the fact that when the duty ratio is 1 to 1, the average value of the non-inverted output voltage is equal to the average value of the inverted output voltage. The average value detection circuits 64 and 66 may be easily configured using LPF circuits. It should be noted that any other circuit-structures capable of average-value detection may also be used.